

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	•			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/663,644	09/17/2003	Isamu Kaneyasu	031159	3814
38834 7590 01/30/2007 WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP 1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			EXAMINER	
			EISEN, ALEXANDER	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/30/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/663.644 KANEYASU, ISAMU Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Alexander Eisen 2629 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Alexander Eisen. (2) Thomas E. Brown. Date of Interview: 1230. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) discussed: 2. Identification of prior art discussed: . . Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) $\square$ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

**ALEXANDER EISEN PRIMARY EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600** 

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Application No. 10/663,644

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: In regards to the Applicant's argument that claim language requires different amounts of biases for still images and moving images respectively, the examiner points out that claim language of claim 2 "wherein the level of this bias voltage is changed in accordance with the video signal that is either a video signal related to a still image or a video signal related to a moving image" does not really reflect that fact, but rather merely states (a) that bias voltage changes in accordance with the video signal and separately (b) that the video signal could be either still or moving images. There is no explicit statement relaying that (a) is dependent from (b). An appropriate amendment of claim 2 can overcome the rejection presented in the previous official action. Independent claim 3 has a similar problem.